Case: 3:15-cv-00093-NBB Doc #: 144 Filed: 10/31/17 1 of 3 PageID #: 2511

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI OXFORD DIVISION

MICHAEL WIGGINGTON,	JE	N.	O	GT	N(GI	G	T	W	AEI	CH	VII	1
---------------------	----	----	---	----	----	----	---	---	---	-----	----	-----	---

PLAINTIFF

V.

Civil Case No. 3:15CV093-NBB-RP

THE UNIVERSITY OF MISSISSIPPI,
CHANCELLOR DANIEL W. JONES individually
and in his official capacity as Chancellor,
PROVOST MORRIS H. STOCKS, individually
and in his official capacity as Provost, DEAN JOHN Z. KISS,
individually and in his official capacity as Dean, DEAN
VELMER BURTON, individually and in his
official capacity as Dean, CHAIR ERIC LAMBERT,
individually and in his official capacity as
Department Chair

DEFENDANTS

JURY VERDICT

Question No. 1
Has Dr. Wigginton proved that, but for his age, the defendant University of Mississippi would not have denied his application for tenure and promotion and subsequently terminated his employment?
Yes No
Question No. 2
Did Defendant Lambert deprive Dr. Wigginton of his due process rights during his consideration of his application for tenure and promotion?
Yes No

Question No. 3
Did Defendant Burton deprive Dr. Wigginton of his due process rights during his consideration of his application for tenure and promotion?
Yes No No
Question No. 4
Did Defendant Kiss deprive Dr. Wigginton of his due process rights during his consideration of his application for tenure and promotion?
Yes No
Question No. 5
Did Defendant Stocks deprive Dr. Wigginton of his due process rights during his consideration of his application for tenure and promotion?
Yes No
Question No. 6
Did Defendant Jones deprive Dr. Wigginton of his due process rights during his consideration of his application for tenure and promotion?
Yes No

If you have answered "No" to all of the above, please stop and inform the Court.

If you have answered "Yes" to any of the above, please continue filling out this form.

Question No. 7

Did Dr. Wigginton suffer damages as a result of Defendant's deprivation of his due process rights?

Yes No_____

Question No. 8

What sum of money, if paid now in cash, would fairly and reasonably compensate Dr. Wigginton for the damages, if any, you have found Defendant's wrongful conduct, if any, caused Dr. Wigginton?

Answer in dollars and cents for the following items, and no others:

1. Past wages and benefits from May 1, 2014 to present.

s_18,000 00

2. Past pain and suffering, inconvenience, mental anguish, and loss of enjoyment of life.

s_100,000 <u>00</u>

3. Future pain and suffering, inconvenience, mental anguish, and loss of enjoyment of life.

\$ 100,000

Foreperson

10-27-17